

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/784,999	Applicant(s) IDO ET AL.	
	Examiner Denise Tran	Art Unit 2185	

All Participants:

 (1) Denise Tran.

 (2) Carl Brundidge (Reg. No. 29,621).
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 14 April 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3,4,7,8, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant's representative, Mr. Brundidge agreed to amend claims 3-4, 7-8, and 17 as stated in the examiner's amendment to have the same limitations as the objected claims 3-4, 7-8, and 17 and to avoid a new matter situation.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)